arXiv:1601.07301v1 [math.AG] 27 Jan 2016

OBSTRUCTIONS TO DEFORMING CURVES ON A 3-FOLD, III: DEFORMATIONS OF CURVES LYING ON A $K3$ SURFACE

HIROKAZU NASU

Abstract. We study the deformations of a smooth curve C on a smooth projective 3-fold V, assuming the presence of a smooth surface S satisfying $C \subset S \subset V$. Generalizing a result of Mukai and Nasu, we give a new sufficient condition for a first order infinitesimal deformation of C in V to be primarily obstructed. In particular, when V is Fano and S is $K3$, we give a sufficient condition for C to be (un)obstructed in V, in terms of (-2)-curves and elliptic curves on S. Applying this result, we prove that the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V_4$ of smooth connected curves on a smooth quartic 3-fold $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ contains infinitely many generically non-reduced irreducible components, which are variations of Mumford's example for $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} \mathbb{P}^3$.

1. Introduction

Let V be a smooth projective 3-fold over an algebraically closed field k. This paper is a sequel to the preceding papers [17, 20], in which the embedded deformations of a smooth curve C in V have been studied under the presence of an intermediate smooth surface S satisfying $C \subset S \subset V$. As is well known, first order deformations $\tilde{C} \subset V \times \operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^2)$ of C in V are in one-to-one correspondence with global sections α of the normal bundle $N_{C/V}$ of C in V. Then the obstruction $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ to lifting $\tilde{C}$ to a second order deformation $\tilde{\tilde{C}} \subset V \times \operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^3)$ of C in V is contained in $H^1(C, N_{C/V})$, and $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ is computed as a cup product $\alpha \cup \alpha$ by the map

$$H^0(C, N_{C/V}) \times H^0(C, N_{C/V}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(C, N_{C/V})$$

(cf. Theorem 2.1). It is generally difficult to compute $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ directly. Mukai and Nasu [17] introduced *the exterior components* of α and $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$, which are defined as the images of α and $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ in $H^i(C, N_{S/V}\big|_C)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ by the natural projection $\pi_{C/S} : N_{C/V} \to N_{S/V}\big|_C$, and denoted by $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$, respectively (cf. §2.3). They gave a sufficient condition for $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$ to be nonzero, which implies the non-liftability of $\tilde{C}$ to any $\tilde{\tilde{C}}$.

In this paper, we generalize their result and give a weaker condition for $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha) \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{C}$ or $\alpha \in H^0(C, N_{C/V})$ be a first order deformation of C in V, and $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha) \in$

This work was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid (C), No 25400048, and JSPS Grant-in-Aid (S), No 25220701.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C05; Secondary 14H10, 14D15.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert scheme, infinitesimal deformation, obstruction, $K3$ surface, Fano threefold.

$H^0(C, N_{S/V}\big|_C)$ the exterior component of α. Suppose that the image of $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ in $H^0(C, N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C)$ lifts to a section

$$\beta \in H^0(S, N_{S/V}(mE))$$

for an integer $m \geq 1$ and an effective Cartier divisor E on S. In other words, we have $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha) = \beta\big|_C$ in $H^0(C, N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C)$. Here β is called an *infinitesimal deformations with a pole* (along E) of S in V (cf. §2.4). The following is a generalization of [17, Theorem 2.2], in which, it was assumed that $m = 1$, E is smooth and irreducible with negative self-intersection number $E^2 < 0$ on S, and furthermore, E was assumed to be a (-1)-curve on S (i.e. $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $E^2 = -1$) in its application.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\tilde{C}$, α, β be as above. Suppose that the natural map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(kE)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_S((k+1)E))$ is injective for every integer $k \geq 1$. If the following conditions are satisfied, then the exterior component $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$ of $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ is nonzero:*

(a) *the restriction map $H^0(S, \Delta) \xrightarrow{|_E} H^0(E, \Delta\big|_E)$ is surjective for $\Delta := C + K_V\big|_S - 2mE$, a divisor on S, and*

(b) *we have*

$$m\partial_E(\beta\big|_E) \cup \beta\big|_E \neq 0 \qquad in \qquad H^1(E, N_{S/V}((2m+1)E - C)\big|_E),$$

where $\beta\big|_E \in H^0(E, N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_E)$ is the principal part of β along the pole E, and ∂_E is the coboundary map of the exact sequence

$$[0 \longrightarrow \underbrace{N_{E/S}}_{\simeq \mathcal{O}_E(E)} \longrightarrow N_{E/V} \xrightarrow{\pi_{E/S}} N_{S/V}\big|_E \longrightarrow 0] \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(mE). \tag{1.1}$$

The relation between α and $\beta\big|_E$ is explained with Figure 1 in §3.

Given a projective scheme V, let $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ denote the Hilbert scheme of smooth connected curves in V. Mumford [18] first proved that $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} \mathbb{P}^3$ contains a generically non-reduced (irreducible) component. Later, many examples of such non-reduced components of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} \mathbb{P}^3$ were found in [10, 3, 7, 5, 19], etc. More recently, Mumford's example was generalized in [17] and it was proved that for many uniruled 3-folds V, $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ contains infinitely many generically non-reduced components. (See [23] for a different generalization.) In the construction of the components, (-1)-curves $E \subset V$ on a surface $S \subset V$ play a very important role. In this paper, as an application we study the deformations of curves C on a smooth Fano 3-fold V when C is contained in a smooth $K3$ surface $S \subset V$. On a $K3$ surface, (-2)-curves E (i.e. $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and $E^2 = -2$) and elliptic curves F (then $F^2 = 0$) play a role very similar to that of a (-1)-curve. In what follows, we assume that $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let V be a smooth Fano 3-fold, $S \subset V$ a smooth $K3$ surface, and $C \subset S$ a smooth connected curve, and put $D := C + K_V\big|_S$ a divisor on S. Suppose that there exists*

a first order deformation $\tilde{S}$ of S which does not contain any first order deformations $\tilde{C}$ of C.

(1) *If $D \geq 0$ and there exist no (-2)-curves and no elliptic curves on S, or more generally, if $H^1(S, D) = 0$, then* $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ *is nonsingular at $[C]$.*

(2) *If $D \geq 0$, $D^2 \geq 0$ and there exists a (-2)-curve E on S such that $E.D = -2$ and $H^1(S, D - 3E) = 0$, then we have $h^1(S, D) = 1$. If moreover,*

$$\pi_{E/S}(E) : H^0(E, N_{E/V}(E)) \longrightarrow H^0(E, N_{S/V}(E)\big|_E) \tag{1.2}$$

is not surjective, then $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ *is singular at $[C]$.*

(3) *If there exists an elliptic curve F on S such that $D \sim mF$ for $m \geq 2$, then we have $h^1(S, D) = m - 1$. If moreover, $\pi_{F/S}(F)$ is not surjective, then* $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ *is singular at $[C]$.*

Thus C is unobstructed in V if $H^1(S, D) = 0$. By using Theorem 1.1, we partially prove that C is obstructed in V if $H^1(S, D) \neq 0$. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, the Hilbert-flag scheme $\mathrm{HF}\, V$ of V (cf. §2.5) is nonsingular at (C, S) (cf. Lemma 2.9). Therefore (C, S) belongs to a unique irreducible component $\mathcal{W}_{C,S}$ of $\mathrm{HF}\, V$. The image $W_{C,S}$ of $\mathcal{W}_{C,S}$ in $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ is called the *S-maximal family* containing C (cf. Definition 2.10). Then $W_{C,S}$ is of codimension $h^1(S, D)$ in the tangent space $H^0(C, N_{C/V})$ of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ at $[C]$ (cf. (4.1)).

Corollary 1.3. *In (1),(2),(3) of Theorem 1.2, we have furthermore that*

(a) *If $h^1(S, D) \leq 1$, then $W_{C,S}$ is an irreducible component of* $(\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V)_{\mathrm{red}}$.

(b) $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ *is generically smooth along $W_{C,S}$ if $h^1(S, D) = 0$, and generically non-reduced along $W_{C,S}$ if $h^1(S, D) = 1$.*

(c) *If $H^0(S, -D) = 0$, then* $\dim_{[C]} \mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V = S \cdot (K_V)^2/2 + g + 1$, *where g is the genus of C.*

The following is a simplification or a variation of Mumford's example (See Examples 5.8 and 5.12 for more examples.).

Example 1.4. In the following examples, the closure $\overline{W}$ is an irreducible component of $(\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V)_{\mathrm{red}}$ and $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ is generically non-reduced along W. (We have $h^0(C, N_{C/V}) = \dim W + 1$ at the generic point $[C]$ of W).

(1) Let V be a smooth quartic 3-fold $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, E a smooth conic on V with trivial normal bundle $N_{E/V} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E^2$, S a smooth hyperplane section of V containing E and such that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$, where $\mathbf{h} \sim \mathcal{O}_S(1)$. Then a general member C of the complete linear system $|2\mathbf{h} + 2E|$ on S is a smooth connected curve of degree 12 and genus 13. Such curves C are parametrised by a locally closed irreducible subset W of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$ of dimension 16.

(2) Let $V = \mathbb{P}^3$ and let F be a smooth plane cubic (elliptic) curve, S a smooth quartic surface containing F. Then a general member C of $|4\mathbf{h} + 2F|$ ($\mathbf{h} \sim \mathcal{O}_S(1)$) is a smooth connected curve of degree 22 and genus 57 on S. Such curves C are parametrised by a locally closed irreducible subset W of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^3$ of dimension 90.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The proof of Theorem 1.1 heavily depends on the analysis of the singularity of *polar d-maps*. Given a projective scheme V and its hypersurface $S \subset V$, there exists a so-called "Hilbert-Picard" morphism $\psi_S : \mathrm{Hilb}^{cd}\,V \to \mathrm{Pic}\,S$ from the Hilbert scheme of effective Cartier divisors on V to the Picard scheme of S, sending a hypersurface $S' \subset V$ to an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(S')\big|_S$ on S. The tangent map $d_S : H^0(S, N_{S/V}) \to H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ of ψ_S at $[S]$ is called *d-map* for $S \subset V$. In §2.4, we show that this map is extended into a version $d_{S^\circ} : H^0(S, N_{S/V}(mE)) \to H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S((m+1)E))$ with a pole along a divisor $E \geq 0$ on S, and prove that for any $\beta \in H^0(S, N_{S/V}(mE))$ the restriction $d_{S^\circ}(\beta)\big|_E$ to E of the image $d_{S^\circ}(\beta)$ coincides with the coboundary $\partial_E(\beta\big|_E)$ of (1.1) up to the multiple m (cf. Proposition 2.5). In §3, applying this result to a 3-fold V, we prove Theorem 1.1. In § 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 as an application of Theorem 1.1. In §5.1, we study the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S)$ of a smooth quartic surface S of Picard number two (cf. Lemmas 5.1, 5.3). Applying the results on Mori cones, in §5.2, for curves C lying on S, we study the deformations of C in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and C in a smooth quartic 3-fold $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. In particular, we give a sufficient condition for $W_{C,S}$ to be a generically non-reduced (or generically smooth) component of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,V_4$ (cf. Theorems 5.5, 5.10 and 5.13).

Acknowledgments. I should like to thank Eiichi Sato for asking me a useful question concerning the existence of a non-reduced component of the Hilbert scheme of a smooth Fano 3-fold of index one. I am grateful to Jan Oddvar Kleppe for helpful comments and a discussion on non-reduced components of the Hilbert scheme of space curves.

2. Preliminaries

We start by recalling some basic facts on the deformation theory of closed subschemes, as well as setting up Notations. We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$ with the embedding invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(1)$ on V, and $X \subset V$ a closed subscheme of V with the Hilbert polynomial $P_X = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(n))$. Then as is well known, the *Hilbert scheme* $\mathrm{Hilb}_P\,V$ of V parametrises all closed subscheme X' of V with $P_{X'} = P_X$ (cf. [6]). We denote by $\mathrm{Hilb}\,V$ the (full) Hilbert scheme $\bigsqcup_P \mathrm{Hilb}_P\,V$ of V. Let $\mathcal{I}_X$ and $N_{X/V} = (\mathcal{I}_X/\mathcal{I}_X^2)^\vee$ denote the ideal sheaf and the normal sheaf of X in V, respectively. The symbol $[X]$ represents the point of $\mathrm{Hilb}\,V$ corresponding to X. Then the tangent space of $\mathrm{Hilb}\,V$ at $[X]$ is known to be isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of sheaf homomorphisms from $\mathcal{I}_X$ to $\mathcal{O}_X$, which is isomorphic to $H^0(X, N_{X/V})$. Every obstruction to deforming X in V is contained in the

group $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and if X is a locally complete intersection in V, then it is contained in a smaller subgroup $H^1(X, N_{X/V}) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. If $H^1(X, N_{X/V}) = 0$, then $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is nonsingular at $[X]$ of dimension $h^0(X, N_{X/V})$. A *first order (infinitesimal) deformation* of X in V is a closed subscheme $X' \subset X \times \mathrm{Spec}\, D$, flat over the ring $D = k[t]/(t^2)$ of dual numbers, with a central fiber $X'_0 = X$. By the universal property of the Hilbert scheme, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of D-valued points $\gamma : \mathrm{Spec}\, D \to \mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ sending 0 to $[X]$, and the set of the first order deformations of X in V. By the infinitesimal lifting property of smoothness (cf. [8, Proposition 4.4, Chap. 1]), if there exists a first order deformation of X in V not liftable to a deformation over $\mathrm{Spec}\, k[t]/(t^n)$ for some $n \geq 3$, then $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is singular at $[X]$. We say X is *unobstructed* (resp. *obstructed*) in V if $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is nonsingular (resp. singular) at $[X]$, and for an irreducible closed subset W of $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$, we say $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is *generically smooth* (resp. *generically non-reduced*) along W if $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is nonsingular (resp. singular) at the generic point X_η of W.

2.1. **Primary obstructions.** Let V be a (projective) scheme over k, X a closed subscheme of V, α a global section of $N_{X/V}$. We define a cup product $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha) \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by

$$\mathrm{ob}(\alpha) := \alpha \cup \mathbf{e} \cup \alpha,$$

where $\mathbf{e} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{I}_X)$ is the extension class of the standard short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}$$

on V. Though the following fact is well-known to the experts, we give a proof for the reader's convenience.

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [2, 13])**.** *Let $\tilde{X}$ be a first order deformation of X in V corresponding to α. If X is a locally complete intersection in V, then $\tilde{X}$ lifts to a deformation $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$ over $k[t]/(t^3)$, if and only if* $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ *is zero.*

Proof. First we recall the correspondence between $\tilde{X}$ and α. Let $\mathfrak{U} := \left\{U_i \;\middle|\; i \in I\right\}$ be an open affine covering of V, $R_i = \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_V)$ the coordinate ring of U_i, $I_i = \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{I}_X)$ the defining ideal of $X \cap U_i$ in U_i. We take the covering $\mathfrak{U}$ so that the intersection $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j$ is affine for all i, j and let $R_{ij} := \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_V)$. Let m be the codimension of X in V and let I_i be generated by elements $f_{i1}, \ldots, f_{im}$ of R_i. Since the two ideals I_i and I_j agree on the overlap U_{ij}, there exist a $m \times m$ matrix A_{ij} with entries in R_{ij} (i.e., $A_{ij} \in M(m, R_{ij})$) such that

$$\mathbf{f}_j = A_{ij}\mathbf{f}_i, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{f}_i := \begin{pmatrix} f_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{im} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

The restriction $\overline{A_{ij}}$ to X of A_{ij} represents the transition matrix of $N_{X/V}$. Throughout the proof, for a section u of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on V, $\overline{u}$ denote the image of u in $\mathcal{F}\big|_X = \mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{O}_X$. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}}$ be the ideal sheaf defining $\tilde{X}$ in $V\times\operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^2)$. The ideal $J_i := \Gamma(U_i\times\operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^2), \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}})$ of $R_i\otimes k[t]/(t^2)(\simeq R_i\oplus R_it)$ is generated by

$$f_{i1}+tu_{i1}, \quad \ldots, \quad f_{im}+tu_{im}$$

for some $u_{ik}\in R_i$ $(k=1,\ldots,m)$. Since J_i and J_j agree on $U_{ij}\times\operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^2)$, there exists a matrix $B_{ij}\in M(m,R_{ij})$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}_j+t\mathbf{u}_j=(A_{ij}+tB_{ij})(\mathbf{f}_i+t\mathbf{u}_i), \qquad \text{where } \mathbf{u}_i := \begin{pmatrix} u_{i1}\\ \vdots \\ u_{im}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Comparing the coefficient of t, we have

$$\mathbf{u}_j = A_{ij}\mathbf{u}_i + B_{ij}\mathbf{f}_i, \tag{2.3}$$

which implies that

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}_j} = \overline{A_{ij}\mathbf{u}_i}.$$

Let α_i be the section of $N_{X/V}\simeq\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X,\mathcal{O}_X)$ over U_i sending each f_{ik} to $\overline{u_{ik}}$ $(k=1,\ldots,m)$, respectively. Then by (2.2) and (2.3), the local sections α_i over U_i agree on the overlap U_{ij} and define a global section $\alpha\in\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_X,\mathcal{O}_X)$. Conversely, every global section α of $N_{X/V}$ defines a first order deformation $\tilde{X}$ of X in V, whose local equations are $f_{ik}+t\widehat{\alpha_i(f_{ik})}$ $(k=1,\ldots,m)$, where $\widehat{\alpha_i(f_{ik})}$ is a lift of $\alpha_i(f_{ik})\in R_i/I_i$ to R_i. In the rest of the proof, for convenience, we write as $\alpha_i(\mathbf{f}_i)=\overline{\mathbf{u}_i}$ instead of writing $\alpha_i(f_{ik})=\overline{u_{ik}}$ $(k=1,\ldots,m)$.

Now we try to lift the first order deformation $\tilde{X}$ to a second order deformation $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$ of X in V over $k[t]/(t^3)$. Suppose that $\tilde{X}$ lifts to a deformation $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$ over $k[t]/(t^3)$. Then the ideal $K_i := \Gamma(U_i\times\operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^3), \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{\tilde{X}}})$ of $R_i\otimes k[t]/(t^3)(\simeq R_i\oplus R_it\oplus R_it^2)$ is generated by

$$f_{i1}+tu_{i1}+t^2v_{i1}, \quad \ldots, \quad f_{im}+tu_{im}+t^2v_{im}$$

for some $v_{ik}\in R_i$ $(k=1,\ldots,m)$. Since K_i and K_j agree on $U_{ij}\times\operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^3)$, there exists a matrix $C_{ij}\in M(m,R_{ij})$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}_j+t\mathbf{u}_j+t^2\mathbf{v}_j=(A_{ij}+tB_{ij}+t^2C_{ij})(\mathbf{f}_i+t\mathbf{u}_i+t^2\mathbf{v}_i), \qquad \text{where } \mathbf{v}_i := \begin{pmatrix} v_{i1}\\ \vdots \\ v_{im}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $\mathbf{v}_j = A_{ij}\mathbf{v}_i + B_{ij}\mathbf{u}_i + C_{ij}\mathbf{f}_i$, and in particular, we have

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}_j - A_{ij}\mathbf{v}_i} = \overline{B_{ij}\mathbf{u}_i}. \tag{2.4}$$

$\tilde{\tilde{X}}$ is defined as a subscheme of $V\times k[t]/(t^3)$, flat over $D=k[t]/(t^3)$ if and only if we can solve the equation (2.4) for $\mathbf{v}_i$. Let us define a section β_{ij} of $N_{X/V}$ over U_{ij} by

$\beta_{ij}(\mathbf{f}_i) = \overline{\mathbf{v}_j - A_{ij}\mathbf{v}_i}$. Then since $\overline{A_{ij}}$ is the transition matrix of $N_{X/V}$, we note that the 1-cochain $\beta := \{\beta_{ij}\}$ of $N_{X/V}$ becomes a coboundary (of a 0-cochain $\beta' = \{\beta'_i\}$ of $N_{X/V}$ with $\beta'_i(\mathbf{f}_i) = \overline{\mathbf{v}_i}$). Let us define a section γ_{ij} of $N_{X/V}$ over U_{ij} by

$$\gamma_{ij}(\mathbf{f}_i) = \overline{B_{ij}\mathbf{u}_i}. \tag{2.5}$$

Then the 1-cocycle $\{\gamma_{ij}\} \in C^1(\mathfrak{U}, N_{X/V})$ is cohomologous to zero if and only if we can solve (2.4) for $\mathbf{v}_i$. Thus it suffices to prove that $\{\gamma_{ij}\}$ represents the class of the cup product $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha) = \alpha \cup \mathbf{e} \cup \alpha$ in $H^1(N_{X/V}) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Let $\delta : \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{I}_X)$ be the map induced by (2.1). Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_X, *)$ to (2.1), we obtain an exact sequence of Čech complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{I}_X)) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_V)) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We compute the image $\delta(\alpha)(= \alpha \cup \mathbf{e})$ of α by a diagram chase. Let $\alpha_i := \alpha\big|_{U_i} \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ as before and let $\alpha_i(\mathbf{f}_i) = \overline{\mathbf{u}_i}$ for each i. Since U_i is affine, there exists a section $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ of $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_V)$ over U_i (a local lift of α over U_i) satisfying $\tilde{\alpha}_i(\mathbf{f}_i) = \mathbf{u}_i$. Since α is globally defined, $\delta(\alpha)_{ij} = \tilde{\alpha}_j - \tilde{\alpha}_i$ becomes a section of $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{I}_X)$ over U_{ij} for every i, j. Then by (2.3), we have $\delta(\alpha)_{ij}(\mathbf{f}_i) = B_{ij}\mathbf{f}_i$. Thus we have computed the image $\delta(\alpha)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{I}_X)) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{I}_X)$. Since the cup product of $\delta(\alpha)$ with α is locally defined as the composition of the two maps $\delta(\alpha)_{ij}$ and α_i, it is represented by a 1-cocycle $\{\alpha_i \circ \delta(\alpha)_{ij}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Therefore $\delta(\alpha) \cup \alpha = \alpha \cup \mathbf{e} \cup \alpha$ is contained in $H^1(N_{X/V}) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Since we have

$$\alpha_i \circ \delta(\alpha)_{ij}(\mathbf{f}_i) = \alpha_i(B_{ij}\mathbf{f}_i) = B_{ij}\alpha_i(\mathbf{f}_i) = \overline{B_{ij}\mathbf{u}_i},$$

we conclude that $\alpha_i \circ \delta(\alpha)_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}$ by (2.5). Thus we have completed the proof. □

Definition 2.2. Here $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ is called the *(primary) obstruction* for α (or $\tilde{X}$).

2.2. **Hypersurface case and d-map.** Let X be an effective Cartier divisor on V, i.e., a closed subscheme of V whose ideal sheaf is locally generated by a single equation. We denote by $\mathrm{Hilb}^{cd} V$ the Hilbert scheme of effective Cartier divisors on V. There exists a natural morphism $\varphi : \mathrm{Hilb}^{cd} V \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}\, V$ to the Picard scheme $\mathrm{Pic}\, V$ of V, sending a divisor D to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_V(D)$. We define a morphism $\psi_X : \mathrm{Hilb}^{cd} V \to \mathrm{Pic}\, X$ by the composition of φ with the restriction map $\mathcal{O}_V(D) \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ to X. By definition, the tangent map d_X of ψ_X at $[X]$ is the composite

$$d_X : H^0(X, N_{X/V}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V) \xrightarrow{|_X} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X), \tag{2.6}$$

where δ is the coboundary map of the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_V \to \mathcal{O}_V(X) \to N_{X/V} \to 0$. We call d_X the *d-map* for X. Let $\tilde{X}$ be a first order deformation of X in V, corresponding to a global section β of $N_{X/V}$. Then by [20, Lemma 2.9], the primary obstruction $\mathrm{ob}(\beta)$ of $\tilde{X}$ equals the cup product $d_X(\beta) \cup \beta$ by the map $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \times H^0(X, N_{X/V}) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(X, N_{X/V})$.

2.3. **Exterior components.** We recall the definition of the exterior components (cf. [17, 20]), which is useful for computing the obstructions to deforming subschemes of codimension greater than 1. Let X, Y be two closed subschemes of V such that $X \subset Y$, $\pi_{X/Y} : N_{X/V} \to N_{Y/V}\big|_X$ the natural projection. Then we have the induced maps $H^i(\pi_{X/Y}) : H^i(N_{X/V}) \to H^i(N_{Y/V}\big|_X)$ on the cohomology groups for $i = 0, 1$. The two images

$$\pi_{X/Y}(\alpha) := H^0(\pi_{X/Y})(\alpha) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{ob}_Y(\alpha) := H^0(\pi_{X/Y})(\mathrm{ob}(\alpha))$$

are called the *exterior component* of α and $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$, respectively. These objects respectively correspond to the deformation of X in V into the normal direction to Y and its obstruction. Now we assume that Y is an effective Cartier divisor on V, X is a locally complete intersection on Y. The d-map d_X in (2.6) is generalized and defined for a pair (X, Y) (We have $d_Y = d_{Y,Y}$).

Definition 2.3. Let δ be the coboundary map of $[0 \to \mathcal{I}_X \to \mathcal{O}_V \to \mathcal{O}_X \to 0] \otimes \mathcal{O}_V(Y)$. The composition

$$d_{X,Y} : H^0(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V(Y) \otimes \mathcal{I}_X) \xrightarrow{|_X} H^1(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X \otimes {N_{X/V}}^\vee)$$

of δ with the restriction map $|_X$ to X is called the *d-map* for (X, Y).

Then the two d-maps $d_{X,Y}$ and d_Y are related by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}) & \xrightarrow{d_Y} & H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_X} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_X} & & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H^1(\iota)} \\ H^0(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X) & \xrightarrow{d_{X,Y}} & H^1(X, {N_{X/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{Y/V}), \end{array} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\iota : \mathcal{O}_X \to {N_{X/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{Y/V}$ is the sheaf homomorphism induced by $\pi_{X/Y}$.

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [17, Lemma 2.3 and 2.4])**.** *Let $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ be first order deformations of X and Y in V, with the corresponding global sections α, β of $N_{X/V}, N_{Y/V}$, respectively. If we have $\pi_{X/Y}(\alpha) = \beta\big|_X$ in $H^0(N_{Y/V}\big|_X)$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{ob}_Y(\alpha) = d_{X,Y}(\pi_{X/Y}(\alpha)) \cup_1 \alpha = d_Y(\beta)\big|_X \cup_2 \pi_{X/Y}(\alpha) \tag{2.8}$$

in $H^1(N_{Y/V}\big|_X)$, where $\cup_1$ and $\cup_2$ are the cup product maps

$$H^1(X, {N_{X/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{Y/V}\big|_X) \times H^0(X, N_{X/V}) \xrightarrow{\cup_1} H^1(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X),$$
$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \times H^0(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X) \xrightarrow{\cup_2} H^1(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X)$$

respectively.

2.4. **Infinitesimal deformations with poles and polar d-maps.** In this section, we recall the theory of *infinitesimal deformations with poles*, which was introduced in [17]. Here we develop the study [17, §2.4] on the polar d-maps further. The infinitesimal deformations with poles are defined as rational sections of some sheaves admitting a pole along some divisor, and they are usually regarded as the deformations of the open objects complementary to the poles.

Let V be a projective scheme, Y and E effective Cartier divisors on V and Y, respectively. Put $Y^\circ := Y \setminus E$ and $V^\circ := V \setminus E$ and let $\iota : Y^\circ \hookrightarrow Y$ be the open immersion. Since $\iota_*\mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_Y(mE)$ as a subsheaf for any $m \geq 0$, there exists natural inclusions $\mathcal{O}_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_Y(E) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{O}_Y(mE) \subset \cdots \subset \iota_*\mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ}$ of sheaves on Y. Similarly, since $N_{Y/V}(mE) \subset \iota_* N_{Y^\circ/V^\circ}$ for any $m \geq 0$, we regard $H^0(N_{Y/V}(mE))$ as a subgroup of $H^0(N_{Y^\circ/V^\circ})$ by the natural injective map

$$H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(mE)) \hookrightarrow H^0(Y^\circ, N_{Y^\circ/V^\circ}).$$

A rational section β of $N_{Y/V}$ admitting a pole along E, i.e.

$$\beta \in H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(mE))$$

for $m \geq 1$ is called an *infinitesimal deformation of Y with a pole* along E. Every infinitesimal deformation with a pole induces a first order deformation of Y° in V° by the above injection.

Now we assume that the natural map

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mE)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E)) \tag{2.9}$$

is injective for any $m \geq 1$. Then by the same argument as in [17, Lemma 2.5], the natural map

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mE)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y^\circ, \mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ})$$

is injective for any $m \geq 1$. By this map, we regard $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(mE))$ as a subgroup of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ})$ for any $m \geq 1$. Given an invertible sheaf L on Y, we identify an element of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(mE))$ as a first order deformation of the invertible sheaf $L^\circ := \iota_* L$ on Y°, and call it an *infinitesimal deformation of L with a pole* along E.

Let β be a global section of $N_{Y/V}(mE)$ for $m \geq 1$, i.e., an infinitesimal deformation of Y with a pole along E. Let $d_{Y^\circ} : H^0(N_{Y^\circ/V^\circ}) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ})$ be the d-map (2.6) for $Y^\circ \subset V^\circ$. We have a generalization of [17, Proposition 2.6], which enables us to compute the singularity of $d_{Y^\circ}(\beta) \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ})$ along the boundary E.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer.*

(1) $d_{Y^\circ}(H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(mE))) \subset H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E))$.

(2) *Let d_Y be the restriction of d_{Y° to $H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(mE))$, and let ∂_E be the coboundary map of* (1.1)*. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(mE)) & \xrightarrow{d_Y} & H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_E} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_E} \\ H^0(E, N_{Y/V}(mE)\big|_E) & \xrightarrow{m\partial_E} & H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E((m+1)E)) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

In other words, if Y is a hypersurface in V, then every infinitesimal deformation of $Y \subset V$ with a pole induces that of its invertible sheaf $N_{Y/V}$. The principal part of $d_{Y^\circ}(\beta)$ along E coincides with the coboundary $\partial_E(\beta\big|_E)$ of the principal part $\beta\big|_E$, up to constant.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{U} := \{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an affine open covering of V and let $x_i = y_i = 0$ be the local equation of E over U_i such that y_i defines Y in U_i. Through the proof, for a local section t of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on V, $\bar{t}$ denotes the restriction $t\big|_Y \in \mathcal{F}\big|_Y$ for conventions. Let D_{x_i} and $D_{\bar{x}_i}$ denote the affine open subsets of U_i and $U_i \cap Y$ defined by $x_i \neq 0$ and $\bar{x}_i \neq 0$, respectively. Then $\{D_{\bar{x}_i}\}_{i\in I}$ is an affine open covering of Y° since $D_{\bar{x}_i} = D_{x_i} \cap Y = U_i \cap Y^\circ$.

Let β be a global section of $N_{Y/V}(mE) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)(mE)$. Then the product $\bar{x}_i^m\beta$ is contained in $H^0(U_i, \mathcal{O}_Y(Y))$ and lifts to a section $s_i' \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_V(Y))$ since U_i is affine. In particular, β lifts to the section $s_i := s_i'/x_i^m$ of $\mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}(Y^\circ)$ over D_{x_i}. Let $\delta : H^0(N_{Y^\circ/V^\circ}) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_{V^\circ})$ be the coboundary map in the definition (2.6) for a hypersurface $Y^\circ \subset V^\circ$. Then we have

$$\delta(\beta)_{ij} = s_j - s_i = \frac{s_j'}{x_j^m} - \frac{s_i'}{x_i^m} \qquad \text{in} \qquad \Gamma(D_{x_i} \cap D_{x_j}, \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ}(Y^\circ))$$

for every i, j. Since β is a global section of N_{Y°/V°, $\delta(\beta)_{ij}$ is contained in $\Gamma(D_{x_i} \cap D_{x_j}, \mathcal{O}_{V^\circ})$. Put $f_{ij} := x_i^m x_j^m \delta(\beta)_{ij} = x_i^m s_j' - x_j^m s_i'$. Since $x_i^m s_i = s_i' \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_V(Y))$ for every i, f_{ij} is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$. Now we recall the relations between the local equations x_i, y_i of E over U_i. Since the two ideals (x_i, y_i) and (x_j, y_j) agree on the overlaps U_{ij}, there exist elements b_{ij} and c_{ij} of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$ satisfying $x_i = b_{ij}y_j + c_{ij}x_j$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij} &= (x_i^m - c_{ij}^m x_j^m)s_j' + x_j^m(c_{ij}^m s_j' - s_i') \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_i^{m-1-k}(c_{ij}x_j)^k(x_i - c_{ij}x_j)s_j' + x_j^m(c_{ij}^m s_j' - s_i') \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_i^{m-1-k}(c_{ij}x_j)^k b_{ij}y_j s_j' + x_j^m(c_{ij}^m s_j' - s_i'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since $y_j \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{O}_V(-Y))$ and $s_j' \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{O}_V(Y))$, $b_{ij}y_j s_j'$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$, while $c_{ij}^m s_j' - s_i' \in \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_V(Y))$ is also a section of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$, because we have

$$\overline{c_{ij}^m s_j' - s_i'} = \bar{c}_{ij}^m \bar{x}_j^m \beta - \bar{x}_i^m \beta = (\bar{c}_{ij}^m \bar{x}_j^m - \bar{x}_i^m)\beta = 0$$

in $\Gamma(Y\cap U_{ij}, N_{Y/V})$. Therefore, f_{ij} is contained in $\Gamma(U_{ij}, {\mathcal{I}_E}^{m-1})$ by (2.10), and hence $\bar{f}_{ij}$ is contained in $\Gamma(Y\cap U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_Y((-m+1)E))$. This implies that

$$d_{Y^\circ}(\beta)_{ij} = (\delta(\beta)_{ij})\big|_{Y^\circ} = \frac{\bar{f}_{ij}}{\bar{x}_i^m \bar{x}_j^m} \qquad \text{in} \qquad \Gamma(D_{\bar{x}_i}\cap D_{\bar{x}_j}, \mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ}), \tag{2.11}$$

is contained in $\Gamma(Y\cap U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E))$. Thus we have proved (1).

Now we compute the image of $d_Y(\beta) = d_{Y^\circ}(\beta)$ by the restriction map $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E)) \to H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E((m+1)E))$, regarding $\mathcal{O}_E((m+1)E)$ as the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E)/\mathcal{O}_Y(mE)$. Since $\overline{x}_i = \overline{c_{ij}x_j}$ and $\beta = \overline{s}'_j/\overline{x}_j^m$, it follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$d_Y(\beta)_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{\overline{c_{ij}x_j}}{\overline{x}_i}\right)^k \frac{\overline{b_{ij}y_j}}{\overline{x}_i}\frac{\overline{s}'_j}{\overline{x}_j^m} + \frac{\overline{c_{ij}^m s'_j - s'_i}}{\overline{x}_i^m} = m\frac{\overline{b_{ij}y_j}}{\overline{x}_i}\beta + \frac{\overline{c_{ij}^m s'_j - s'_i}}{\overline{x}_i^m}$$

in $\Gamma(Y\cap U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_Y((m+1)E))$. Since $c_{ij}^m s'_j - s'_i$ a section of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{ij}}$, $\overline{c_{ij}^m s'_j - s'_i}/\bar{x}_i^m$ is contained in $\Gamma(Y\cap U_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_Y(mE))$. On the other hand, the restriction of the 1-cochain $\left\{\overline{b_{ij}y_j}/\bar{x}_i\right\}_{i,j\in I}$ to E is a cocycle and represents the extension class $\mathbf{e}\in H^1(\mathcal{O}_E(-Y+E))$ of the exact sequence (1.1). Therefore $d_Y(\beta)\big|_E$ is equal to $m\mathbf{e}\cup(\beta\big|_E) = m\partial_E(\beta\big|_E)$, which implies (2). □

We finish this section by stating a refinement of Proposition 2.5. Let E_i $(1\le i\le k)$ be an irreducible Cartier divisor on Y. Suppose that E_i's are mutually disjoint ($E_i\cap E_j = \emptyset$ for any i, j). We suppose furthermore that for every two effective divisors D, D' on S with support on $\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$, if $D\le D'$, then the natural map $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D)) \longrightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(D'))$ is injective. Then as we see above, for any such divisor D, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(D))$ is a subgroup of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{Y^\circ})$, where $Y^\circ := Y\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$. Let $E = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i$ be an effective divisor on Y with coefficients $m_i\ge 1$, and let $\beta\in H^0(N_{Y/V}(E))$. We put $V^\circ := V\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$ and denote by d_{Y° the d-map (2.6) for $Y^\circ\subset V^\circ$. If $H^1(N_{Y/V}) = 0$, then $\beta\in H^0(N_{Y/V}(E))$ is written as a k-linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i\beta_i$ of $\beta_i\in H^0(N_{Y/V}(m_iE_i))$ by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let L be an invertible sheaf on Y with $H^1(Y, L) = 0$, and let E, E' be two effective divisors on Y whose supports are mutually disjoint. Then the natural map $H^0(Y, L(E))\oplus H^0(Y, L(E')) \to H^0(Y, L(E+E'))$ is surjective.*

Proof. It follows from the exact sequence $[0\to\mathcal{O}_Y\to\mathcal{O}_Y(E)\oplus\mathcal{O}_Y(E')\to\mathcal{O}_Y(E+E')\to 0]\otimes L$ on Y of Koszul type. □

Since the d-map d_{Y° is k-linear, we find $d_{Y^\circ}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i d_{Y^\circ}(\beta_i)$. Because for each i, $d_{Y^\circ}(\beta_i)$ is contained in $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y((m_i+1)E_i))$ by Proposition 2.7, $d_{Y^\circ}(\beta)$ is contained in $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum_{i=1}^k (m_i+1)E_i))$. Furthermore, since E_i's are mutually disjoint, we have $d_{Y^\circ}(v_i)\big|_{E_j} = 0$ if $i\ne j$ and $d_{Y^\circ}(v_i)\big|_{E_i} = m_i\partial_{E_i}(v_i\big|_{E_i})$ by the same proposition. Thus we conclude that

Proposition 2.7. *Let $m_i\ge 1$ be integers. If $H^1(Y, N_{Y/V}) = 0$, then*

(1) $d_{Y^\circ}(H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(\sum_{i=1}^k m_iE_i))) \subset H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum_{i=1}^k (m_i+1)E_i))$.

(2) *Let d_Y be the restriction of d_{Y° to $H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(\sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i))$. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}(\sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i)) & \xrightarrow{d_Y} & H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum_{i=1}^k (m_i+1)E_i)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_{E_i}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_{E_i}} \\ H^0(E_i, N_{Y/V}(m_i E_i)\big|_{E_i}) & \xrightarrow{m_i \partial_{E_i}} & H^1(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}((m_i+1)E_i)) \end{array}$$

is commutative for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

2.5. **Hilbert-flag schemes.** In this section, we recall some basic results on the Hilbert-flag schemes. For the construction (the existence), the local properties, etc., of the Hilbert-flag schemes, we refer to [9, 10, 8, 22].

Let V be a projective scheme, and let X, Y be two closed subschemes of V such that $X \subset Y$, with the Hilbert polynomials P, Q, respectively. Then there exists a projective scheme $\mathrm{HF}_{P,Q} V$, called the Hilbert-flag scheme of V, parametrising all pairs (X', Y') of closed subschemes $X' \subset Y' \subset V$ with the Hilbert polynomials P and Q, respectively. There exists a natural diagram of the Hilbert(-flag) schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{HF}_{P,Q} V & \xrightarrow{pr_1} & \mathrm{Hilb}_Q V \\ {\scriptstyle pr_2}\downarrow & & \\ \mathrm{Hilb}_P V & & \end{array} \qquad \left(\begin{array}{ccc} (X,Y) & \longmapsto & Y \\ \downarrow & & \\ X & & \end{array} \right),$$

where pr_i is the forgetful morphism (i.e. projection) for $i = 1, 2$. We denote the tangent space of $\mathrm{HF}\, V$ at (X, Y) by $A^1(X, Y)$. Then there exists a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^1(X,Y) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & H^0(Y, N_{Y/V}) \\ {\scriptstyle p_2}\downarrow & \square & {\scriptstyle \rho}\downarrow \\ H^0(X, N_{X/V}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X/Y}} & H^0(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X), \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

where p_i is the tangent map of pr_i $(i = 1, 2)$, ρ is the restriction map, and $\pi_{X/Y}$ is the projection. In what follows, we assume that X and Y are smooth and $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is nonsingular at $[Y]$. Let

$$\partial_X : H^0(X, N_{Y/V}\big|_X) \to H^1(X, N_{X/Y})$$

be the coboundary map of $0 \to N_{X/Y} \to N_{X/V} \xrightarrow{\pi_{X/Y}} N_{Y/V}\big|_X \to 0$ on V and let $\alpha_{X/Y}$ be the composition $\partial_X \circ \rho$ of ρ with ∂_X. Then by [9, Theorem 1.3.2], every obstruction to deforming a pair (X, Y) of subschemes X, Y with $X \subset Y \subset V$ is contained in the group

$$A^2(X, Y) := \operatorname{coker} \alpha_{X/Y}, \tag{2.13}$$

and we have

$$\dim A^1(X,Y) - \dim A^2(X,Y) \leq \dim_{(X,Y)} \mathrm{HF}\, V \leq \dim A^1(X,Y) \tag{2.14}$$

(see also [10, §2]). Thus $A^2(X,Y)$ represents the obstruction group of $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ at (X,Y). There exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^0(Y,\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\otimes N_{Y/V}) &\longrightarrow A^1(X,Y) \longrightarrow H^0(X,N_{X/V}) \\ &\longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}\rho \longrightarrow A^2(X,Y) \longrightarrow H^1(X,N_{X/V}) \longrightarrow H^1(X,N_{Y/V}\big|_X) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

of cohomology groups, which connects the tangent spaces and the obstruction spaces of Hilbert(-flag) schemes (see [9, 10] for the proof). If $H^i(N_{Y/V})=0$ $(i=1,2)$, then we have $\operatorname{coker}\rho \simeq H^1(\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\otimes N_{Y/V})$ and $H^1(N_{Y/V}\big|_X) \simeq H^2(\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\otimes N_{Y/V})$ by the exact sequence $[0\to\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\to\mathcal{O}_Y\to\mathcal{O}_X\to 0]\otimes N_{Y/V}$. If $\dim X=1$ then the last map of (2.15) is surjective. Thus we obtain (3) of the next lemma.

Lemma 2.8. (1) *If ρ is surjective (cf.* (2.12)*), then $pr_1 : \mathrm{HF}\,V \to \mathrm{Hilb}\,V$ is smooth at (X,Y) (cf.* [10, Lemma A10]*).*

(2) *If $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\otimes N_{Y/V})=0$, then pr_1 is a local embedding at (X,Y).*

(3) *If $\dim X=1$, $\dim Y=2$ and $H^i(Y,N_{Y/V})=0$ $(i=1,2)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim A^1(X,Y)-\dim A^2(X,Y) &= \chi(X,N_{X/V})+\chi(Y,\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\otimes N_{Y/V}) \\ &= \chi(X,N_{X/Y})+\chi(Y,N_{Y/V}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The number (2.16) represents the expected dimension of the Hilbert-flag scheme $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ at (X,Y). If $A^2(X,Y)=0$, then $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ is nonsingular at (X,Y) by (2.14). If moreover if $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{X/Y}\otimes N_{Y/V})=0$, then so is $\mathrm{Hilb}\,V$ at $[X]$ by (1). Furthermore if $\dim X=1$ and $H^i(N_{Y/V})=0$ for $i=1,2$, then we have $\dim_{(X,Y)}\mathrm{HF}\,V=\chi(X,N_{X/Y})+\chi(Y,N_{Y/V})$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let V be a smooth Fano 3-fold, $S\subset V$ a smooth $K3$ surface, $C\subset S$ a smooth curve of genus g. If there exists a first order deformation $\tilde{S}$ of S which does not contain any first order deformations $\tilde{C}$ of C, then we have $A^2(C,S)=0$. In particular, the Hilbert-flag scheme $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ is nonsingular of dimension $(K_V\big|_S)^2/2+g+1$ at (C,S).*

Proof. Since K_S is trivial, by adjunction, we have $N_{S/V}\simeq -K_V\big|_S$ and $N_{C/S}\simeq K_C$. Since $-K_V$ is ample, the cohomology group $H^i(N_{S/V})$ vanishes for $i=1,2$. In particular, $\mathrm{Hilb}\,V$ is nonsingular at $[S]$. On the other hand, we have $H^1(N_{C/S})\simeq H^1(K_C)\simeq k$. Thus the obstruction group $A^2(C,S)$ (cf. (2.13)) of $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ at (C,S) is of dimension at most 1. Let β be the global section of $N_{S/V}$ corresponding to $\tilde{S}$. Then $\rho(\beta)$ is not contained in the image of $\pi_{C/S}$, because the diagram (2.12) is cartesian. Hence the map $\alpha_{C/S}$ is nonzero and we conclude that $A^2(C,S)=0$. By Lemma 2.8(3), $\dim_{(C,S)}\mathrm{HF}\,V=\dim A^1(C,S)=\chi(-K_V\big|_S)+\chi(K_C)=(K_V\big|_S)^2/2+g+1$. □

Definition 2.10. Suppose that $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ is nonsingular at (C,S), and let $\mathcal{W}_{C,S}$ be the irreducible component of $\mathrm{HF}\,V$ passing through (C,S). Then the image $pr_1(\mathcal{W}_{C,S})$ is called the **S-maximal family** containing C.

2.6. $K3$ **surfaces and quartic surfaces.** We recall some basic results on $K3$ surfaces and quartic surfaces.

Lemma 2.11. *Let S be a smooth projective $K3$ surface, $D \neq 0$ an effective divisor on S.*

(1) *If D is nef, then the complete linear system $|D|$ has a base point if and only if there exist curves E and F on S and an integer $k \geq 2$ such that $D \sim E + kF$, $E^2 = -2$, $F^2 = 0$ and $E.F = 1$.*
(2) *Let $D^2 \geq 0$. Then $H^1(S, D) \neq 0$ if and only if (i) $D.\Delta \leq -2$ for some divisor $\Delta \geq 0$ with $\Delta^2 = -2$, or (ii) $D \sim kF$ for some divisor $F \geq 0$ with $F^2 = 0$ and an integer $k \geq 2$. (We have $h^1(S, D) = k - 1$ in (ii).)*

Proof. (1) follows from [21, 2.7] and (2) from [12]. □

The following lemma will be used in §5 to show the existence of quartic surfaces of Picard number two containing a rational curve or an elliptic curve.

Lemma 2.12 (Mori [16], see also [8, p.138])**.** *Let k be a field with $\overline{k} = k$ and* $\operatorname{char} k = 0$.

(1) *There exists a smooth curve C of degree $d > 0$ and genus $g \geq 0$ on a smooth quartic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ if and only if (i) $g = d^2/8 + 1$, or (ii) $g < d^2/8$ and $(d, g) \neq (5, 3)$.*
(2) *If there exists a smooth quartic surface S_0 containing smooth curve C_0 of degree d and genus g, then there exists a smooth quartic surface S_1 containing a smooth curve C_1 of the same degree and genus, with the property that* $\operatorname{Pic} S_1$ *is generated by C_1 and the class* $\mathbf{h}$ *of hyperplane sections.*

The following lemma will be used in §5 to apply Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 2.13. *Let V be a smooth projective variety, $S \subset V$ a $K3$ surface, and $E \subset S$ a smooth curve. Let $\pi_{E/S} : N_{E/V} \to N_{S/V}\big|_E$ be the projection. If*

(a) *$V = \mathbb{P}^n$ and E is rational (i.e. $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$) or elliptic,*
(b) *E is rational and $N_{E/V}$ is globally generated, or*
(c) *E is elliptic and there exists a first order deformation $\tilde{S}$ of S not containing any first order deformation $\tilde{E}$ of E,*

then the map (1.2) *on the cohomology groups induced by $\pi_{E/S}$ is not surjective.*

Proof. There exists an exact sequence

$$[0 \longrightarrow N_{E/S} \xrightarrow{\iota} N_{E/V} \xrightarrow{\pi_{E/S}} N_{S/V}\big|_E \longrightarrow 0] \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(E),$$

where ι is a natural inclusion. We have $N_{E/S} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(E)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ if $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, and trivial if E is elliptic. Thus we have $H^1(E, N_{E/S}(E)) \simeq H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E(E)^{\otimes 2}) \neq 0$. Therefore it suffices to prove $H^1(E, N_{E/V}(E)) = 0$. Suppose that $V = \mathbb{P}^n$. Then by the Euler sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{n+1} \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \to 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$, there exists a surjective homomorphism $[\mathcal{O}_E(1)^{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}\big|_E] \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(E)$. We have $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E(E)(1)) \simeq H^0(E, \omega_E(-1))^\vee =$

0 by the Serre duality. Since E is a curve, this implies that $H^1(E, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}\big|_E(E)) = 0$, and hence $H^1(E, N_{E/\mathbb{P}^n}(E)) = 0$. Suppose that (b) is satisfied. Then we have an inequality $h^1(E, N_{E/V}(E)) \leq 2$, while $h^1(E, N_{E/S}(E)) = 3$. Therefore $H^1(E, \iota)$ is not injective. Suppose that (c) is satisfied. As we see in the proof of Lemma 2.9, by assumption, $H^0(E, N_{E/V}) \overset{\pi_{E/S}}{\to} H^0(E, N_{S/V}\big|_E)$ is not surjective, and so is $\pi_{E/S}(E)$, because $\mathcal{O}_E(E)$ is trivial. □

3. Obstructedness criterion

In this section, we compute obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold, and prove Theorem 1.1 and its refinement Theorem 3.3.

Let $C \subset S \subset V$ be a sequence of a curve C, a surface S, a 3-fold V, E an effective Cartier divisor on S. We assume that C and S are Cartier divisors on S and V, respectively. Let $Z := C \cap E$ be the scheme-theoretic intersection of C and E. In what follows, given a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on S (resp. C), integers $i, m \geq 0$, and a cohomology class $*$ in $H^i(S, \mathcal{F})$ (resp. $H^i(C, \mathcal{F})$), we denote by $\overline{*}_{(m)}$ the image of $*$ in $H^i(S, \mathcal{F}(mE))$ (resp. $H^i(C, \mathcal{F}(mZ))$). We define $\mathbf{k}_C \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_S(-C))$ as the extension class of the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.1}$$

and the class $\mathbf{k}_E$ similarly.

Lemma 3.1. *Let L be an invertible sheaf on S and γ a global section of the restricted invertible sheaf $L\big|_C$ on C.*

1. *Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Then $\overline{\gamma}_{(m)} \in H^0(C, L(mE)\big|_C)$ lifts to a section $\beta \in H^0(S, L(mE))$ on S if and only if $\overline{\gamma}_{(m)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C = 0$ in $H^1(S, L(mE - C))$.*
2. *If $\overline{\gamma}_{(m)}$ lifts to a section $\beta \in H^0(S, L(mE))$ for $m \geq 1$, then the principle part $\beta\big|_E$ of β is contained in $H^0(E, L(mE-C)\big|_E)$, and hence β is contained in $H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{Z/S} \otimes L(mE))$. $\beta\big|_E$ is nonzero if and only if $\beta \notin H^0(S, L((m-1)E))$, equivalently, $\overline{\gamma}_{(m-1)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C \neq 0$ in $H^1(S, L((m-1)E - C))$.*

Proof. (1) follows from the short exact sequence (3.1) $\otimes L(mE)$, whose coboundary map coincides with the cup product map $\cup \mathbf{k}_C : H^0(C, L(mE)\big|_C) \to H^1(S, L(mE - C))$ with

$\mathbf{k}_C$. (2) follows from a diagram chase of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & H^0(S, L(mE-C)) & \xrightarrow{|_E} & H^0(E, L(mE-C)\big|_E) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(S, L((m-1)E)) & \to & H^0(S, L(mE)) & \xrightarrow{|_E} & H^0(E, L(mE)\big|_E) \\
{\scriptstyle |_C}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle |_C}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle |_C}\downarrow \\
H^0(C, L((m-1)E)\big|_C) & \to & H^0(C, L(mE)\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{|_E} & k(Z) \\
{\scriptstyle \cup \mathbf{k}_C}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \cup \mathbf{k}_C}\downarrow & & \\
H^1(S, L((m-1)E-C)) & \to & H^1(S, L(mE-C)) & &
\end{array}$$

of cohomology groups, which is exact both vertically and horizontally. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first recall the relation between α and $\partial_E(\beta\big|_E)$ by Figure 1. We use the same strategy as [17], in which the proof is separated into 3 steps. We follow

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
H^0(N_{C/V}) & \ni & \alpha & & & & & & H^0(N_{E/V}(mE)) \\
{\scriptstyle \pi_{C/S}}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & & & {\scriptstyle \pi_{E/S}(mE)}\downarrow \\
H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C) & \ni & \beta\big|_C & \xleftarrow{res} & \beta & \xmapsto{res} & \beta\big|_E & \in & H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_E) \\
\bigcap & & & & \pitchfork & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \partial_E}\downarrow \\
H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C) & & \xleftarrow{res} & & H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)) & & \partial_E(\beta\big|_E) & \in & H^1(\mathcal{O}_E((m+1)E))
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Relation between α and $\partial_E(\beta\big|_E)$

the same steps and prove $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(m+1)} \neq 0$ in $H^1(N_{S/V}((m+1)E)\big|_C)$ instead of proving $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha) \neq 0$ in $H^1(N_{S/V}\big|_C)$.

Let α be a global section of $N_{C/V}$, and $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha) \in H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C)$ the exterior component of α. Suppose that the image $\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m)}$ of $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ in $H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C)$ lifts to a section $\beta \in H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)) \setminus H^0(N_{S/V}((m-1)E))$ for $m \geq 1$, i.e., an infinitesimal deformation with a pole along E. We need the relation between the two d-maps $d_{C,S}$ and d_S (cf. Definition 2.3), allowing a pole along E. The polar version of the diagram (2.7) is the following *partially commutative* diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\beta & \in & H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)) & \xrightarrow{d_S} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_S((m+1)E)) \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_C} \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |_C} & & H^1(\mathcal{O}_C((m+1)Z)) \\
 & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H^1(\iota)} \\
 & & H^0(N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C) & & H^1({N_{C/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{S/V}((m+1)Z)) \\
 & & \cup & & \uparrow \\
\gamma & \in & H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{d_{C,S}} & H^1({N_{C/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{S/V}),
\end{array}
\tag{3.2}
$$

in which, the commutativity holds only for $\gamma \in H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C)$ which has a lift $\beta \in H^0(N_{S/V}(mE))$. More precisely, for such a pair γ and β, we have

$$
\overline{d_{C,S}(\gamma)}_{(m+1)} = H^1(\iota)(d_S(\beta)\big|_C). \tag{3.3}
$$

Step 1 $\quad \overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(m+1)} = d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \pi_{C/S}(\alpha) \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(N_{S/V}((m+1)E)\big|_C).$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(m+1)}$ is equal to the cup product $\overline{d_{C,S}(\pi_{C/S}(\alpha))}_{(m+1)} \cup \alpha$. By (3.3), $\overline{d_{C,S}(\pi_{C/S}(\alpha))}_{(m+1)}$ is equal to $H^1(\iota)(d_S(\beta)\big|_C)$. By the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^1({N_{C/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{S/V}((m+1)E)) & \times & H^0(N_{C/V}) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}((m+1)E)\big|_C) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle H^1(\iota)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{C/S}} & & \| \\
H^1(\mathcal{O}_C((m+1)Z)) & \times & H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}((m+1)E)\big|_C),
\end{array}
$$

we have the required equation. □

Next we relate $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$ with a cohomology class on E. Let $\mathbf{k}_C$ and $\mathbf{k}_E$ be the extension classes defined by (3.1).

Step 2 $\quad \overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(2m)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C = (d_S(\beta)\big|_E \cup \beta\big|_E) \cup \mathbf{k}_E \quad \text{in} \quad H^2(N_{S/V}(2mE - C)).$

Proof. We note that for every integers i, $n \geq 0$ and for any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on S, the map $H^i(S, \mathcal{F}) \to H^i(S, \mathcal{F}(nE))$, $* \mapsto \overline{*}_{(n)}$, and the cup product maps are compatible. For example, the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{d_S(\beta)\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}((m+1)E)\big|_C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^0(N_{S/V}((m-1)E)\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{d_S(\beta)\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}(2mE)\big|_C)
\end{array}
$$

is commutative. Therefore, by Step 1 we have

$$
\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(2m)} = \overline{d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)} = d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)}
$$

in $H^1(N_{S/V}(2mE)\big|_C)$. Since there exists a commutative diagram
(3.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)} & & \overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(2m)} \\ & & \cap & & \cap \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_C((m+1)Z)) & \times & H^0(N_{S/V}((m-1)E)\big|_C) & \stackrel{\cup}{\longrightarrow} & H^1(N_{S/V}(2mE)\big|_C) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle |_C} & & \cup\mathbf{k}_C\downarrow & & \cup\mathbf{k}_C\downarrow \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_S((m+1)E)) & \times & H^1(N_{S/V}((m-1)E-C)) & \stackrel{\cup}{\longrightarrow} & H^2(N_{S/V}(2mE-C)), \\ \cup & & & & \\ d_S(\beta) & & & & \end{array}$$

We have

$$\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(2m)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C = (d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)}) \cup \mathbf{k}_C = d_S(\beta) \cup (\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C)$$

in $H^2(N_{S/V}(2mE-C))$. Then by Lemma 3.1, β is contained in the subgroup $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z/S} \otimes N_{S/V}(mE)) \subset H^0(N_{S/V}(mE))$, and its restriction $\beta\big|_C$ to C is a global section of the invertible sheaf

$$\mathcal{I}_{Z/S} \otimes N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(-Z) \otimes N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_C \simeq N_{S/V}((m-1)E)\big|_C$$

on C and we have $\beta\big|_C = \overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)}$ by assumption. Therefore we obtain

$$d_S(\beta) \cup (\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(m-1)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C) = d_S(\beta) \cup (\beta\big|_C \cup \mathbf{k}_C).$$

Then by [17, Lemma 2.8], using the Koszul complex of $C \cap E$, we have $\beta\big|_C \cup \mathbf{k}_C = \beta\big|_E \cup \mathbf{k}_E$ in $H^1(N_{S/V}((m-1)E-C))$. Hence we have

$$d_S(\beta) \cup (\beta\big|_C \cup \mathbf{k}_C) = d_S(\beta) \cup (\beta\big|_E \cup \mathbf{k}_E) = (d_S(\beta)\big|_E \cup \beta\big|_E) \cup \mathbf{k}_E,$$

where the last equality follows from the commutative diagram
(3.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \beta\big|_E & & \\ & & \cap & & \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_E((m+1)E)) & \times & H^0(N_{S/V}(mE-C)\big|_E) & \stackrel{\cup}{\longrightarrow} & H^1(N_{S/V}((2m+1)E-C)\big|_E) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle |_E} & & \cup\mathbf{k}_E\downarrow & & \cup\mathbf{k}_E\downarrow \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_S((m+1)E)) & \times & H^1(N_{S/V}((m-1)E-C)) & \stackrel{\cup}{\longrightarrow} & H^2(N_{S/V}(2mE-C)), \\ \cup & & & & \\ d_S(\beta) & & & & \end{array}$$

similar to (3.4). Thus we obtain the equation required. □

Step 3 Let ∂_E be the coboundary map of (1.1). Then by Proposition 2.5 (2), we have $d_S(\beta)\big|_E \cup \beta\big|_E = m\partial_E(\beta\big|_E) \cup \beta\big|_E$, which is nonzero by the assumption (b). Consider the coboundary map

$$\cup\mathbf{k}_E : H^1(E, N_{S/V}((2m+1)E-C)\big|_E) \longrightarrow H^2(S, N_{S/V}(2mE-C)),$$

which appears in (3.5). By the Serre duality, it is the dual of the restriction map

$$H^0(S, C + K_V\big|_S - 2mE) \xrightarrow{|_E} H^0(E, (C + K_V - 2mE)\big|_E),$$

which is surjective by the assumption (a). Hence the coboundary map $\cup \mathbf{k}_E$ is injective. Therefore we obtain $d_S(\beta)\big|_E \cup \beta\big|_E \cup \mathbf{k}_E \neq 0$ and hence by Step 2 we conclude that $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}_{(2m)} \neq 0$ in $H^1(N_{S/V}(2mE)\big|_C)$. □

Let $\pi_{E/S}(mE) : H^0(E, N_{E/V}(mE)) \to H^0(E, N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_E)$ be the map induced by (1.1). If this map is not surjective, then the sections γ in its image span a proper linear subsystem

$$\Lambda' := \left\{ \mathrm{div}(\gamma) \;\middle|\; \gamma \in \mathrm{im}\, \pi_{E/S}(mE) \right\}$$

of the complete linear system $\Lambda := |N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_E|$ on E, where $\mathrm{div}(\gamma)$ denotes the divisor of zero for γ. The condition (b) in Theorem 1.1 can be replaced with the following conditions (b1), (b2) and (b3) in the next corollary, which is more accessible in many situations.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $C \subset S \subset V$, E, α, β, Δ be as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\beta\big|_E \neq 0$. If the following conditions are satisfied, then the exterior component $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$ of $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ is nonzero.*

(a) *The restriction map $H^0(\Delta) \xrightarrow{|_E} H^0(\Delta\big|_E)$ is surjective,*
(b1) *m is not divisible by the characteristic p of the ground field k,*
(b2) *E is irreducible curve of arithmetic genus $g(E)$ and $(\Delta.E) = 2g(E)-2-(m+1)E^2$.*
(b3) *$Z := C \cap E$ is not a member of Λ'.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the condition (b) of Theorem 1.1 follows from the conditions (b1), (b2) and (b3) of this corollary. Since we have $N_{S/V}(mE - C) \simeq -K_V\big|_S + K_S + mE - C = K_S - \Delta - mE$, there exists an isomorphism

$$N_{S/V}(mE - C)\big|_E \simeq \mathcal{O}_E(K_E - \Delta - (m+1)E) \tag{3.6}$$

of invertible sheaves on E, whose degree is zero by (b2). By Lemma 3.1, $\beta\big|_E$ is a nonzero global section of $N_{S/V}(mE - C)\big|_E$. Since E is irreducible, the invertible sheaves in (3.6) are trivial. Hence as a section of $N_{S/V}(mE)\big|_E$, the divisor $\mathrm{div}(\beta\big|_E)$ of zero associated to $\beta\big|_E$ coincides with Z. It follows from (b3) that $\partial_E(\beta\big|_E) \neq 0$ in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_E((m+1)E))$. Since $\beta\big|_E$ is a nonzero section of the trivial sheaf $N_{S/V}(mE - C)\big|_E$, the cup product $m\partial_E(\beta\big|_E) \cup \beta\big|_E$ is nonzero. □

We finish this section by giving a refinement of Theorem 1.1. Let E_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ be irreducible curves on S, which are mutually disjoint. We assume that for any two effective divisors D, D' on S with support on $\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$, if $D \leq D'$ then the map $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D)) \to H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D'))$ is injective.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $E = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i E_i$ be a divisor on S with $m_i \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{C}$ or $\alpha \in H^0(N_{C/V})$ be a first order deformation of C. Suppose that $H^1(N_{S/V}) = 0$ and the image of the exterior component $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ in $H^0(N_{S/V}(E)\big|_C)$ lifts to an infinitesimal deformation $\beta \in H^0(N_{S/V}(E))$ with poles along E. If there exists an integer $1 \leq i \leq k$ satisfying the following conditions, then the exterior component $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$ of $\mathrm{ob}(\alpha)$ is nonzero:*

(a) *Let $\Delta := C + K_V\big|_S - \sum_{j\neq i}(m_j+1)E_j - 2m_iE_i$. Then the restriction map*

$$H^0(S, \Delta) \xrightarrow{|_{E_i}} H^0(E_i, \Delta\big|_{E_i})$$

to E_i is surjective, and

(b) *Let $\beta\big|_{E_i} \in H^0(N_{S/V}(m_iE_i)\big|_{E_i})$ be the principal part of β along E_i, and let ∂_{E_i} be the coboundary map of the exact sequence (1.1) for $E = E_i$. Then we have*

$$m_i\partial_{E_i}(\beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \beta\big|_{E_i} \neq 0 \qquad in \qquad H^1(E_i, N_{S/V}((2m_i+1)E_i - C)\big|_{E_i}).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.1. We follow the same steps in the proof. Let $d_{S^\circ} : H^0(N_{S^\circ/V^\circ}) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ})$ be the d-map for $S^\circ \subset V^\circ$. Then the image $d_S(\beta)$ of $\beta \in H^0(N_{S/V}(E))$ is contained in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(E')) \subset H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S^\circ})$ by Proposition 2.7, where $E' := E + \sum_{i=1}^k E_i = \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i+1)E_i$. There exists a partially commutative diagram similar to (3.2), which connects the two polar d-maps $d_{C,S}$ and d_S with poles along E. By using this diagram, we find

$$\overline{d_{C,S}(\pi_{C/S}(\alpha))} = H^1(\iota)(d_S(\beta)\big|_C) \qquad \text{in} \qquad H^1(C, {N_{C/V}}^\vee \otimes N_{S/V}(E')\big|_C).$$

We will show that the image $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}$ of $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)$ in $H^1(N_{S/V}(E')\big|_C)$ is nonzero. Using the argument in Step 1 before, we get $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)} = d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$, where the cup product is taken by the map

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(E')) \times H^0(C, N_{S/V}\big|_C) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(C, N_{S/V}(E')\big|_C).$$

We consider a cup product

$$\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C \in H^2(S, N_{S/V}(E' - C))$$

of $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)}$ with $\mathbf{k}_C \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_S(-C))$. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(E')) & \times & H^0(N_{S/V}\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}(E')\big|_C) \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(E')) & \times & H^0(N_{S/V}((m_i-1)E_i)\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}(E' + (m_i-1)E_i)\big|_C) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle |_C} & & \cup\mathbf{k}_C \downarrow & & \cup\mathbf{k}_C \downarrow \\
H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(E')) & \times & H^1(N_{S/V}((m_i-1)E_i - C)) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^2(N_{S/V}(E' + (m_i-1)E_i - C)).
\end{array}$$

By using this diagram, we compute the image of $\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C$ in $H^2(N_{S/V}(E' + (m_i - 1)E_i - C))$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\overline{\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C} &= \overline{(d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \pi_{C/S}(\alpha)) \cup \mathbf{k}_C} \\ &= (d_S(\beta)\big|_C \cup \overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}) \cup \mathbf{k}_C \\ &= d_S(\beta) \cup (\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C) \\ &= d_S(\beta) \cup (\beta\big|_C \cup \mathbf{k}_C),\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}$ is the image of $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ in $H^0(N_{S/V}((m_i - 1)E_i)\big|_C)$. There exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(E')) & \times & H^1(N_{S/V}((m_i-1)E_i - C)) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^2(N_{S/V}(E' + (m_i-1)E_i - C)) \\ {\scriptstyle |_{E_i}}\downarrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i}} \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_{E_i}((m_i+1)E_i)) & \times & H^0(N_{S/V}(m_iE_i - C)\big|_{E_i}) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^1(N_{S/V}((2m_i+1)E_i - C)\big|_{E_i}). \end{array}$$

Then by [17, Lemma 2.8] and Proposition 2.7(2), we compute as

$$\begin{aligned} d_S(\beta) \cup (\beta\big|_C \cup \mathbf{k}_C) &= d_S(\beta) \cup (\beta\big|_{E_i} \cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i}) \\ &= (d_S(\beta)\big|_{E_i} \cup \beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i} \\ &= (m_i \partial_{E_i}(\beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_{E_i}(\beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \beta\big|_{E_i} \neq 0$ by assumption. It follows from the assumption (a) that the cup product map

$$H^1(E_i, N_{S/V}((2m_i+1)E_i - C)\big|_{E_i}) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i}} H^2(S, N_{S/V}(E' + (m_i-1)E_i - C))$$

with $\mathbf{k}_{E_i} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{E_i}, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_i))$ is injective. Therefore, we have $(\partial_{E_i}(\beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \beta\big|_{E_i}) \cup \mathbf{k}_{E_i} \neq 0$ and thus we have completed the proof. □

4. Obstructions to deforming curves lying on a $K3$ surface

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. In this and later sections, we assume that $\mathrm{char}\, k = 0$. Let $C \subset S \subset V$ as in the theorem. Then by Lemma 2.9, the Hilbert-flag scheme $\mathrm{HF}\, V$ is nonsingular at (C, S), and moreover, $A^2(C, S) = 0$. We put a divisor $D := C + K_V\big|_S$ on S. Since $N_{S/V}(-C) \simeq -K_V\big|_S - C = -D$, we have $H^1(S, N_{S/V}(-C)) \simeq H^1(S, -D) \simeq H^1(S, D)^\vee$ by the Serre duality.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1) It is known that if there exist no (-2)-curves and no elliptic curves on a smooth $K3$ surface X, then every nonzero effective divisor on X is ample. (Then the effective cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ and the ample cone $\mathrm{Amp}(X)$ coincides.) Therefore we have $H^1(S, D) = 0$ by assumption. Then the restriction map $\rho : H^0(S, N_{S/V}) \to H^0(C, N_{S/V}\big|_C)$ is surjective. Then by Lemma 2.8(1), $\mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ is nonsingular at $[C]$.

(2) We show that the tangent map p_1 of $pr_1 : \mathrm{HF}\, V \to \mathrm{Hilb}\, V$ at (C, S) is not surjective and its cokernel is of dimension 1. Since $D \geq 0$ and $D \neq 0$, we have $H^0(S, -D) = 0$. Therefore by (2.15), there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A^1(C, S) \xrightarrow{p_1} H^0(C, N_{C/V}) \longrightarrow H^1(S, -D) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Claim 4.1. *$H^1(S, -D) \simeq k$ and $H^1(S, -D + E) = 0$*

Proof. Since $D.E = -2$ and $E^2 = -2$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D - (l+1)E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(D - lE) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2l - 2) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4.2}$$

for every integer l. Since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2l-2)) = 0$ for $l \geq 1$ and $H^1(S, D - 3E) = 0$, it follows from this exact sequence that $H^1(S, D - lE) = 0$ for $l = 1, 2$. We prove $H^2(S, D - E) = 0$. In fact, if $H^2(S, D - E) \neq 0$, then by the Serre duality, $-D + E$ is effective and we have $(-D + E)^2 = D^2 + 2 \geq 2 > 0$. Then it follows from the signature theorem (cf. [1, VIII.1]) that $0 \leq (D. - D + E) = -D^2 - 2 < 0$ and hence we get a contradiction. Therefore by (4.2), we have $H^1(S, D) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) \simeq k$. By the Serre duality, we have proved the claim. □

Let α be a global section of $N_{C/V}$. It suffices to prove the next claim.

Claim 4.2. $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha) \neq 0$ *for* $\alpha \notin \mathrm{im}\, p_1$.

Proof. Let $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha) \in H^0(C, N_{S/V}\big|_C)$ be the exterior component of α. There exists commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \to & A^1(C,S) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & H^0(C, N_{C/V}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(S,-D) & \to & 0 \\ & & {\scriptstyle p_2}\big\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \pi_{C/S}}\big\downarrow & & \| & & \\ 0 & \to & H^0(S, N_{S/V}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H^0(C, N_{S/V}\big|_C) & \xrightarrow{\cup \mathbf{k}_C} & H^1(S,-D) & \to & 0, \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{k}_C$ is the extension class of (3.1). By this diagram, we see that $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ is not contained in $\mathrm{im}\, \rho$, and hence $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha) \cup \mathbf{k}_C \neq 0$ in $H^1(S, -D)$. On the other hand, since $H^1(S, -D + E) = 0$, we have $\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(1)} \cup \mathbf{k}_C = 0$ and $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ lifts to an infinitesimal deformation $\beta \in H^0(S, N_{S/V}(E))$ with a pole along E by Lemma 3.1(1). Then by (2) of the same lemma, the principal part $\beta\big|_E$ of β is a nonzero global section of $N_{S/V}(E)\big|_E$, and its divisor of zero contains $Z := C \cap E$ (i.e. $Z \subset \mathrm{div}(\beta\big|_E)$).

Now we verify that the four conditions (a), (b1), (b2) and (b3) of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied. Put $\Delta = C + K_V\big|_S - 2E$, a divisor on S. Since $H^1(S, \Delta - E) = H^1(S, D - 3E) = 0$, (a) follows from the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_S(\Delta - E) \to \mathcal{O}_S(\Delta) \to \mathcal{O}_E(\Delta) \to 0$. (b1) is clear. Since E is a (-2)-curve, we compute that $\Delta.E = (D - 2E.E) = 2 = 2g(E) - 2 - 2E^2$, and hence (b2) follows. Then by (3.6), this implies that $N_{S/V}(E - C)\big|_E$ is trivial and hence we have $Z = \mathrm{div}(\beta\big|_E)$. Finally, for (b3), we show $H^1(S, C - E) = 0$. In fact, we have $C - E = D - E - K_V\big|_S$. Since $H^1(S, D - E) = 0$, we have $(D - E.E') \geq -1$ for any

(-2)-curve E' on S by Lemma 2.11(2), which implies that $C-E$ is nef because $-K_V\big|_S$ is ample. Then $C-E$ is big by

$$(C-E)^2=(D-E)^2+2(D-E.-K_V\big|_S)+(-K_V\big|_S)^2>(D-E)^2>0.$$

Therefore $H^1(S,C-E)=0$ by the Kodaira-Ramanujam vanishing theorem. Then the rational map

$$|C|\dashrightarrow|\mathcal{O}_E(C)|,\qquad C'\longmapsto Z=C'\cap E$$

is dominant. By assumption, $\Lambda'=\left\{\mathrm{div}(\gamma)\;\middle|\;\gamma\in\mathrm{im}\,\pi_{E/S}(E)\right\}$ is a proper linear subsystem of $\Lambda=|N_{S/V}(E)\big|_E|$. Therefore, if necessary, by replacing C with a general member C' of $|C|$, we may assume that $Z=C\cap E$ is not contained in Λ'. In fact, by the upper semicontinuity, if a general member $C'\in|C|$ is obstructed, then so is C. Hence (b3) follows. By Corollary 3.2, we have proved the claim.

(3) The proof is very similar to that of (2). Suppose that $D\sim mF$ for $m\geq 2$ and an elliptic curve F. Then by Lemma 2.11(2), the tangent map p_1 of pr_1 has the cokernel $H^1(S,-D)$ of dimension $m-1$. Since $h^1(S,-D+F)=m-2$, the kernel of the natural map $H^1(S,-D)\to H^1(S,-D+F)$ is of dimension at least one. Hence there exists a global section α of $N_{C/V}$ whose exterior component $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)$ satisfies $\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)\cup\mathbf{k}_C\neq 0$ in $H^1(S,-D)$, while $\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(1)}\cup\mathbf{k}_C=0$ in $H^1(S,-D+F)$. We fix such a global section α and prove that $\mathrm{ob}_S(\alpha)\neq 0$. Again by Lemma 3.1, there exists an infinitesimal deformation $\beta\in H^0(N_{S/V}(F))$ with a pole along F such that $\beta\big|_C=\overline{\pi_{C/S}(\alpha)}_{(1)}$ and $\beta\big|_F$ is a nonzero global section of $N_{S/V}(F)\big|_F$. Thus it suffices to verify the conditions (a), (b1), (b2) and (b3) of Corollary 3.2. (a) follows from $\Delta=(m-2)F$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(F)\simeq\mathcal{O}_F$. (b1) is clear. (b2) follows from $\Delta.F=2g(F)-2-2F^2=0$. Since $C-F=-K_V+(m-1)F$ is ample, we have $H^1(C-F)=0$ by the Kodaira vanishing. Hence (b3) follows. The rest of the proof is same as that of (2). □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let $W_{C,S}$ be the S-maximal family containing C. If $H^1(S,D)=0$, then by Lemma 2.8(1), pr_1 is smooth. Since a smooth morphism is flat and a flat morphism maps a generic point onto a generic point, we have the conclusion of (a). (cf. [9, Corollary 1.3.5]). Suppose that $h^1(S,D)=1$. Then it follows from (4.1) that

$$\dim W_{C,S}\leq\dim_{[C]}\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}V\leq h^0(C,N_{C/V})=\dim W_{C,S}+1.$$

Since C is obstructed by the theorem, we have $\dim_{[C]}\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}V=\dim W_{C,S}$. Therefore (a) follows. Since C is a generic member of $W_{C,S}$, we obtain (b). If $H^0(S,-D)=0$, then by Lemma 2.8(2) and Lemma 2.9, we obtain $\dim_{[C]}\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}V=\dim W_{C,S}=(K_V\big|_S)^2/2+g+1$. Thus we have completed the proof. □

5. Non-reduced components of the Hilbert scheme

In this section, as an application, we study the deformations of curves lying on a smooth quartic surface S in $\mathbb{P}^3$, or a smooth hyperplane section S of a smooth quartic 3-fold $V_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. We give some examples of generically non-reduced components of the Hilbert schemes $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}V_4$ (cf. Examples 5.8 and 5.12). As is well known, S is a $K3$ surface. Here we consider S (i) of Picard number two ($\rho(S) = 2$), (ii) containing a smooth curve E not a complete intersection in S, and such that (iii) $\mathrm{Pic}\, S$ is generated by E and the class $\mathbf{h}$ of hyperplane sections of S (i.e., $\mathrm{Pic}\, S \simeq \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$). Kleppe and Ottem [11] have studied the deformations of space curves lying on such a quartic surface by assuming that E is a line, or a conic. They have also produced examples of generically non-reduced components of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\mathbb{P}^3$ by a different method (cf. Remark 5.6).

5.1. **Mori cone of quartic surfaces.** Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth quartic surface. If S is general, then we have $\rho(S) = 1$ and $\mathrm{Pic}\, S$ is generated by $\mathbf{h} = -\frac{1}{4}K_{\mathbb{P}^3}\big|_S$. Then every curve C on S is a complete intersection of S with other surface, and hence C is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Thus we see that C is unobstructed, thanks to a result of Ellingsrud [4].

First we consider a quartic surface S containing a (smooth) rational curve E. Then by its genus, E is not a complete intersection in S. It follows from Lemma 2.12 that for every integer $e \geq 1$, there exists a smooth quartic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ containing a rational curve E of degree e such that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S \simeq \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$. Let (S, E) be such a pair of a surface S and a curve $E \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then every divisor D on S is linearly equivalent to $x\mathbf{h} - yE$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since we have $\mathbf{h}^2 = 4, \mathbf{h}.E = e, E^2 = -2$, we compute the self intersection number of D on S as $D^2 = 4x^2 - 2exy - 2y^2$. Recall that for a projective surface X, the effective cone $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ of X is defined as $\mathrm{NE}(X) = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[C_i] \;\middle|\; C_i \text{ is an irreducible curve on } X \text{ and } a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ and the Mori cone $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ is defined as the closure of $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ in $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Kovács [14] proved that for a $K3$ surface X of $\rho(X) = 2$, $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ has two extremal rays, which can be generated by the classes of two (-2)-curves, one (-2)-curve and an elliptic curve, two elliptic curves, or two non-effective classes x_1, x_2 with $x_i^2 = 0$. Applying Kovács's result, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a smooth quartic surface, E a smooth rational curve of degree $e \geq 2$ on S such that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$, D a divisor on S. Then*

1. *$D^2 \neq 0$ for any $D \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Pic}\, S$.*
2. *There exists a (unique) (-2)-curve E' on S such that $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[E']$.*
3. *D is nef if and only if $D.E \geq 0$ and $D.E' \geq 0$.*
4. *Let $D \geq 0$ and $D \neq 0$. Then a general member C of $|D|$ is smooth connected curve if and only if D is nef, $D = E$, or $D = E'$.*
5. *If (i) $D \geq 0$ and D is nef or (ii) $D = E, E'$, then $H^1(S, D) = 0$.*

Proof. Since E spans one of the two extremal rays, for proving (1) and (2), it suffices to prove that there exist no elliptic curves on S. Suppose that there exists a nonzero divisor $D \sim x\mathbf{h} - yE$ on S with $D^2 = -2(y^2 + exy - 2x^2) = 0$. Then the discriminant $d = (ex)^2 - 4(-2x^2) = (8 + e^2)x^2$ of this quadratic equation (with a variable y) equals a power of an integer. Since $D \neq 0$ in $\operatorname{Pic} S$, we have $8 + e^2 = k^2$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. By solving this equation, we have $(k, e) = (3, 1)$, or $(9/2, 7/2)$, which are both impossible by assumption. Since the nef cone and the mori cone are dual to each other, we have (3). If $C \in |D|$ is irreducible and $C \neq E, E'$, then C is nef. Conversely, if D is nef, then $|D|$ is base point free by Lemma 2.11(1), and a general member $C \in |D|$ is a smooth connected curve by Bertini's theorem. Hence (4) follows. (5) is clear if $D = 0$, E, or E'. Otherwise it follows from (1) and the Kodaira-Ramanujam vanishing theorem. □

Remark 5.2. (1) If $e = 1$, then $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S)$ is generated by the classes of a line E and a smooth elliptic curve $F \sim \mathbf{h} - E$ of degree 3, contained in a plane in $\mathbb{P}^3$ (cf. [11, Proposition 5.1]).

(2) Once $e \geq 2$ is given, the class $x\mathbf{h} - yE$ of the curve $E' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ spanning the other ray of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S)$ can be explicitly computed as the minimal nonzero solution (x, y) of the equation

$$y^2 + exy - 2x^2 = 1$$

which is equivalent to $(E')^2 = -2$. If e is even, i.e., $e = 2m$ for $m \geq 1$, then we have $E' = mh - E$. If e is odd, then we see that y is odd and x is even ($x = 2x'$), and the above equation is reduced to the Pell equation $X^2 - (e^2 + 8)Y^2 = 1$, by putting $X := y + ex'$ and $Y := x'$. Thus the class $x\mathbf{h} - yE$ of E' in $\operatorname{Pic} S$ is obtained as the minimal solution of this Pell equation and computed as in the following table.

e	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	$\cdots$
(x, y)	$(1, 1)$	$(16, 9)$	$(2, 1)$	$(8, 3)$	$(3, 1)$	$(40, 11)$	$(4, 1)$	$(106000, 23001)$	$\cdots$
$d(E')$	2	37	4	17	6	83	8	216991	$\cdots$

Secondly, we consider elliptic quartic surfaces. It follows from Lemma 2.12 that for every integer $e \geq 3$, there exists a smooth quartic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ containing a smooth elliptic curve F of degree e such that $\operatorname{Pic} S \simeq \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}F$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let S be a smooth quartic surface, F an elliptic curve of degree $e \geq 4$ on S such that $\operatorname{Pic} S = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}F$, D a divisor on S. Then*

(1) *$D^2 \neq -2$. In particular, there exists no (-2)-curve on S.*

(2) *There exists a smooth elliptic curve F' on S such that $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[F] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[F']$. Moreover, the class of F' in $\operatorname{Pic} S$ equals $e\mathbf{h} - 2F$ if e is odd, and $(e/2)\mathbf{h} - F$ otherwise.*

(3) *D is nef if and only if $D \geq 0$.*
(4) *Let $D \geq 0$ and $D \neq 0$. Then the following are equivalent: (i) a general member C of $|D|$ is smooth connected curve, (ii) $D \not\sim kF$ and $D \not\sim kF'$ for $k \geq 2$, (iii) $H^1(S, D) = 0$*

Proof. If $D \sim x\mathbf{h} - yF$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have $D^2 = 2x(2x - ye)$ by $h^2 = 4, h.F = e, F^2 = 0$. The Diophantine equation $2x(2x - ye) = -2$ on (x, y) has a solution $(x, y) = (\pm 1, \pm 1)$ only if $e = 1, 3$, which is a contradiction. Thus we obtain (1). Since F spans one of the extremal ray, by Kovács's result, the other ray is spanned by the class of a smooth elliptic curve on S. In fact, by solving the Diophantine equation $2x(2x - ye) = 0$, we see that if $D^2 = 0$ and D is not a multiple of F, then D is a multiple of the class $F' \geq 0$ given in the lemma. Thus the other ray is spanned by F' and we obtain (2). (3) follows from (2), because $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S)$ is self-dual. Suppose that $D \geq 0$ and $D \neq 0$. Then $|D|$ has no fixed component. By [21, Prop. 2.6], if $D^2 > 0$, then a general member C of $|D|$ is smooth connected curve and $h^1(D) = 0$, and otherwise D is a multiple kF $(k \geq 1)$ of a smooth elliptic curve F on S and $h^1(D) = k - 1$. □

Finally, we consider quartic surfaces without (-2)-curves nor elliptic curves. Let S be a smooth quartic surface not containing any (-2)-curves nor smooth elliptic curves. Then $\mathrm{NE}(S)$ coincides with the ample cone $\mathrm{Amp}(S)$ of S. In particular, $H^1(S, D)$ vanishes for every divisor $D \geq 0$ on S. If $\rho(S) = 2$, then $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(S) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x_1] + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x_2]$ for two non-effective classes x_i $(i = 1, 2)$ with $x_i^2 = 0$. We give an example of such a quartic surface.

Example 5.4. It follows from Lemma 2.12 that there exists a smooth quartic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ and a smooth connected curve Γ on S of degree 6 and genus 2 such that $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. Then there exist no nonzero divisors D on S satisfying either $D^2 = -2$ or $D^2 = 0$. Let $D \sim x\mathbf{h} - y\Gamma$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $D^2 = 4x^2 - 12xy + 2y^2 = (2x - 3y)^2 - 7y^2$. Since $-2 \equiv 5$ is not a quadratic residue modulo 7, we have $D^2 \neq -2$. If $D^2 = 0$, then the quadratic equation $D^2 = 0$ implies $D = 0$. Thus we conclude that there exist no (-2)-curves and no smooth elliptic curves on S.

5.2. **Hilbert schemes of $\mathbb{P}^3$ and V_4.** Let V be $\mathbb{P}^3$ or a smooth quartic 3-fold V_4, S a smooth quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ or a smooth hyperplane section of V_4. It is known that if S is general (in $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4)|$ or in $|\mathcal{O}_{V_4}(1)|$), the Picard group of S is generated by the class $\mathbf{h}$ of hyperplane sections of S (see e.g. [15] for $V = V_4$). Let C be a smooth connected curve of degree $d(= C.\mathbf{h})$ and genus g in S, which is not a complete intersection in S. Then there exists a first order deformation $\tilde{S}$ of S not containing any first order deformation $\tilde{C}$ of C. Then by Lemma 2.9, $\mathrm{HF}\, V$ is nonsingular at (C, S) of dimension $a^1(C, S) = (K_V\big|_S)^2/2 + g + 1$. Since $K_{\mathbb{P}^3}\big|_S = -4\mathbf{h}$ and $K_{V_4}\big|_S = -\mathbf{h}$, the number $a^1(C, S)$ equals $g + 33$ if $V = \mathbb{P}^3$, and $g + 3$ if $V = V_4$. Let $W_{C,S}$ be the S-maximal family containing C. Then if $d > 16$ (resp. $d > 4$), then we have $H^0(N_{S/V}(-C)) = 0$ and hence

$\dim W_{C,S} = a^1(C,S)$. In what follows, we assume that $d > 16$ if $V = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $d > 4$ if $V = V_4$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $V = \mathbb{P}^3$ or $V = V_4$, S, C as above. Suppose that there exists a smooth rational curve E of degree $e \geq 2$ on S such that $\operatorname{Pic} S = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$. Let E' be as in Lemma 5.1 and suppose that $D := C + K_V\big|_S$ is effective.*

(1) *If D is nef, or $D = E, E'$, then $W_{C,S}$ is a generically smooth component of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{sc} V$.*
(2) *Suppose that $N_{E/V}$ is globally generated if $V = V_4$. If $D.E = -2$ and $D \neq E$, then $W_{C,S}$ is a generically non-reduced component of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{sc} V$.*

Proof. (1) follows from Corollary 1.3 and Lemma 5.1. We prove (2). For an invertible sheaf $L \sim x\mathbf{h} + yE$ on S, we have $L.E = 0$ if and only if $ex - 2y = 0$. This implies that if $L.E = 0$ then L is linearly equivalent to a multiple of $2\mathbf{h} + eE$ for odd e, and that of $\mathbf{h} + (e/2)E$ for even e. Since $D.E = -2$, $D \geq 0$ and $D \neq E$, there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that D is linearly equivalent to the class

$$\begin{cases} k(2\mathbf{h} + eE) + E & (\text{when } e \text{ is odd}), \\ k(\mathbf{h} + (e/2)E) + E & (\text{when } e \text{ is even}). \end{cases}$$

Thus we see that $D^2 = (D-E)^2 + E^2 > k^2\mathbf{h}^2 - 2 = 4k^2 - 2 > 0$. We show $H^1(D-3E) = 0$. Suppose that e is even and put $e = 2e'$ ($e' \geq 1$). If $k \geq 2$ or $e' \geq 2$, then $D - 3E$ is nef and effective, because $(D - 3E.E) = 4$ and $(D - 3E.E') = k\mathbf{h}.E' + (ke' - 2)E.E' > 0$. If $k = 1$ and $e' = 1$, then $D - 3E = \mathbf{h} - E = E'$. Thus we conclude that $H^1(D - 3E) = 0$ by Lemma 5.1. Similarly, we can show that $H^1(D - 3E) = 0$ when e is odd. It follows from Lemma 2.13 that $\pi_{E/S}(E)$ is not surjective. Therefore, by Corollary 1.3, $W_{C,S}$ is a generically non-reduced component of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{sc} V$. □

In the above theorem, if $C \sim a\mathbf{h} + bE$ ($b \neq 0$), then we have $d = 4a + be$ and $g = 2a^2 + abe - b^2 + 1$. Given a class (a, b) of C in $\operatorname{Pic} S$, one can verify whether D is nef or not by using Lemma 5.1(3) and Remark 5.2(2).

Remark 5.6. Kleppe and Ottem [11] have also studied the deformations of space curves lying on a quartic surface. They have considered a smooth quartic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ containing a line E, and such that $\operatorname{Pic} S \simeq \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$, and a curve $C \subset S$ of degree $d > 16$ and genus g, not a complete intersection in S, satisfying $D := C - 4\mathbf{h} \geq 0$. Then they have proved that if $D.E \geq -1$ or $D \sim E$, then $W_{C,S}$ becomes a generically smooth component of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{sc} \mathbb{P}^3$, and if $D.E \leq -2$ (i.e., $D.E = -2, -3$, or -4), $D \neq E$, $d \geq 21$ and $g > \min\{G(d,5) - 1, d^2/10 + 21\}$, then $W_{C,S}$ becomes a generically non-reduced component of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{sc} \mathbb{P}^3$. Here $G(d,5)$ denotes the maximum genus of curves of degree d not contained in a surface of degree 4. More recently, we have been informed by Kleppe that if $D.E \leq -2$, then the assumption on the genus g is almost always satisfied (with several exceptions of the classes of C in $\operatorname{Pic} S \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$). See [11] for the proof.

Remark 5.7. For a space curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, $s(C)$ denotes the minimal degree of a surface containing C. An irreducible closed subset W of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\mathbb{P}^3$ is called *s-maximal*, if a general member C of W is contained in a surface of degree $s(C) = s$ (i.e. $s(V) = s$), and if we have $s(V) > s(W)$ for any closed irreducible subset $V \supsetneqq W$ of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\mathbb{P}^3$. If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ is contained in a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $s = s(C)$, then every s-maximal subset is a S-maximal family, i.e. the image of an irreducible component of $\mathrm{HF}\, V$ passing through (C, S). It is known that if a very general curve C of 4-maximal family W sits on a smooth quartic surface S and $d(C) > 16$, then the Picard number is at most 2 (cf. [11, Remark 2.3]).

We give infinitely many examples of generically non-reduced irreducible components of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V_4$, which contains Example 1.4(1) as a special case ($n = 2$).

Example 5.8. Let V_4 be a smooth quartic 3-fold, $E \subset V_4$ a conic with trivial normal bundle $N_{E/V_4} \simeq \mathcal{O}^2$, S a smooth hyperplane section of V_4 containing E and such that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}E$. We consider a complete linear system $\Lambda_n := |n(\mathbf{h} + E)|$ on S for every integer $n \geq 2$. Then Λ_n is base point free and a general member C of Λ_n is a smooth connected curve of degree $6n$ and genus $3n^2 + 1$. Let W_n be the S-maximal family $W_{C,S}$ containing C. Since $D.E = (C - \mathbf{h}.E) = -2$ and N_{E/V_4} is globally generated, W_n becomes a generically non-reduced components of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V_4$ of dimension $3n^2 + 4$ by Theorem 5.5.

Remark 5.9. It was proved in [17, Theorem 1.3] that the Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V_3$ of a smooth cubic 3-fold $V_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ contains a generically non-reduced component W of dimension 16. The general member C of W is a smooth connected curve of degree 8 and genus 5, contained in a smooth hyperplane section (i.e. a smooth cubic surface) S_3 of V_3, and $C \sim 2(\mathbf{h} + E)$ for a line on S_3. It was also proved that if N_{E/V_3} is trivial (in other words, E is a *good line* on V_3), then C is primarily obstructed. See [20] for more general results on a smooth del-Pezzo 3-fold V_n of degree $\neq 3$.

We have a similar result for curves lying on an elliptic quartic surface. We have the next theorem as a consequence of Lemma 5.3, Lemma 2.13, and Corollary 1.3.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $V = \mathbb{P}^3$ or $V = V_4$, S, C as above. Suppose that there exists an elliptic curve F of degree $e \geq 4$ on S such that $\mathrm{Pic}\, S = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbb{Z}F$. Let F' be as in Lemma 5.3 and we assume that $D := C + K_V\big|_S$ is effective.*

1. *If $D \not\sim kF$ and $D \not\sim kF'$ for $k \geq 2$, then $W_{C,S}$ is a generically smooth component of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$.*
2. *If $D \sim 2F$ or $D \sim 2F'$, then $W_{C,S}$ is a generically non-reduced component of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} V$.*

Remark 5.11. In the above theorem, we have

(1) If $C \sim a\mathbf{h} + bF$ ($b \neq 0$), then $d = 4a + be$ and $g = 2a^2 + abe + 1$.

(2) Theorem 1.2 shows that if $D \sim mE$ for $m \geq 2$ and an elliptic curve E on S, then C is obstructed. In this case, we have $h^1(S, D) = m - 1$. However, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are not sufficient for proving that $W_{C,S}$ is an irreducible component of $(\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^3)_{\mathrm{red}}$ for $m > 2$.

Example 5.12. Let $V = \mathbb{P}^3$, S a smooth quartic surface containing a smooth elliptic curve F. Then the complete linear system $\Lambda := |4\mathbf{h} + 2F|$ on S is base point free and a general member C of Λ is a smooth connected curve on S. Then C is obstructed by Theorem 1.2, and moreover, $W_{C,S}$ is a generically non-reduced component of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^3$ by Corollary 1.3.

One can compare this example with Mumford's example [18] of a generically non-reduced irreducible component W of $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^3$, whose general member C is contained in a smooth cubic surface S and $C \sim 4\mathbf{h} + 2E$ on S for a line $E \subset S$.

Theorem 5.13. *Let $V = \mathbb{P}^3$ or $V = V_4$, S, C as above. Suppose that there exist no (-2)-curves nor elliptic curves on S. If $D := C + K_V\big|_S$ is effective, then $W_{C,S}$ is a generically smooth component of* $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,V$.

See Example 5.4 for an example of a smooth quartic surface not containing any (-2)-curves nor elliptic curves.

References

[1] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, and A. Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.

[2] D. J. Curtin. Obstructions to deforming a space curve. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 267(1):83–94, 1981.

[3] P. Ellia. D'autres composantes non réduites de $\mathrm{Hilb}\,\mathbf{P}^3$. *Math. Ann.*, 277(3):433–446, 1987.

[4] G. Ellingsrud. Sur le schéma de Hilbert des variétés de codimension 2 dans $\mathbf{P}^e$ à cône de Cohen-Macaulay. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 8(4):423–431, 1975.

[5] G. Fløystad. Determining obstructions for space curves, with applications to nonreduced components of the Hilbert scheme. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 439:11–44, 1993.

[6] A. Grothendieck. Techniques de construction et théorèmes d'existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6, no. 221*. 1960.

[7] L. Gruson and C. Peskine. Genre des courbes de l'espace projectif. II. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 15(3):401–418, 1982.

[8] R. Hartshorne. *Deformation theory*, volume 257 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2010.

[9] J. O. Kleppe. The Hilbert-flag scheme, its properties and its connection with the Hilbert scheme. Applications to curves in 3-space. Preprint (part of thesis), Univ. of Oslo, http://www.iu.hio.no/~jank/papers.htm, 3 1981.

[10] J. O. Kleppe. Nonreduced components of the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves. In *Space curves (Rocca di Papa, 1985)*, volume 1266 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 181–207. Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[11] J. O. Kleppe and J. C. Ottem. Components of the Hilbert scheme of space curves on low-degree smooth surfaces. *Internat. J. Math.*, 26(2):1550017, 30, 2015.

[12] A. L. Knutsen and A. F. Lopez. A sharp vanishing theorem for line bundles on $K3$ or Enriques surfaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(11):3495–3498, 2007.

[13] J. Kollár. *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[14] S. J. Kovács. The cone of curves of a $K3$ surface. *Math. Ann.*, 300(4):681–691, 1994.

[15] B. G. Moĭšezon. Algebraic homology classes on algebraic varieties. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 31:225–268, 1967.

[16] S. Mori. On degrees and genera of curves on smooth quartic surfaces in $\mathbf{P}^3$. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 96:127–132, 1984.

[17] S. Mukai and H. Nasu. Obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold. I. A generalization of Mumford's example and an application to Hom schemes. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 18(4):691–709, 2009.

[18] D. Mumford. Further pathologies in algebraic geometry. *Amer. J. Math.*, 84:642–648, 1962.

[19] H. Nasu. Obstructions to deforming space curves and non-reduced components of the Hilbert scheme. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 42(1):117–141, 2006.

[20] H. Nasu. Obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold, II: Deformations of degenerate curves on a del Pezzo 3-fold. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 60(4):1289–1316, 2010.

[21] B. Saint-Donat. Projective models of $K3$ surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 96:602–639, 1974.

[22] E. Sernesi. *Deformations of algebraic schemes*, volume 334 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[23] R. Vakil. Murphy's law in algebraic geometry: badly-behaved deformation spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 164(3):569–590, 2006.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tokai University, 4-1-1 Kitakaname, Hiratsuka, Kanagawa 259-1292, JAPAN

E-mail address: nasu@tokai-u.jp